

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

<u></u>				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,335	11/26/2003	Martin Soukup	57983.000166	8387
7590 07/09/2007 Thomas E. Anderson			EXAMINER	
Hunton & Willi			ZHU, BO HUI ALVIN	
1900 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006-1109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
_		••	2616	
	•		MAIN DATE	DEL MENY MODE
		• .	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		07/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		51			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/721,335	SOUKUP, MARTIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bo Hui A. Zhu	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed c	n <u>26 November 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	·	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 November 20 Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya e correction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the priority document of the certified copies of of the certified	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in the he priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding claim 10, a claim drawn to a "signal embodied in ... one carrier wave" does not constitute statutory subject matter such as a process, machine, article of manufacture or composition of matter.

Regarding claim 11, a claim drawn to a "processor readable carrier for storing a computer program of instructions" does not constitute statutory subject matter such as a process, machine, article of manufacture or composition of matter. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded or embodied with a computer program product of code, or instructions, is a computer element which, when executed by a computer, defines structural and functional interrelationships between the instructions and the computer to permit the instructions functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. Please see pages 30 and 53 of the Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Page 3

- 4. Claims 1 5, 9, 12 16 and 20 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Peng et al. "Detecting Distributed Denial of Service Attacks Using Source IP Address Monitoring".
 - (1) with regard to claims 1, 12 and 21:

Peng et al. disclose a method and system, comprising: identifying at least part of a source address of a packet; and determining whether the at least part of the source address matches at least one source address recorded within a predetermined time period prior to arrival of the packet (page 4, Section A and Fig. 3. The reference teaches matching the IP source address of the incoming packets to the source addresses recorded in a hash table for a time interval Δn).

(2) with regard to claims 2 and 13:

Peng et al. further discloses the at least one source address is recorded in a hierarchical data structure (Fig. 3, the hash table records addresses in a hierarchical data structure).

(3) with regard to claims 3 and 14:

Peng et al. further discloses a Last Time Seen (LTS) value associated with each of the at least one source address is recorded (Fig. 3, the most recent time stamp).

(4) with regard to claim 4s and 15:

Peng et al. further discloses routing the packet if the at least part of the source address matches at least one source address recorded within the predetermined time period prior to the arrival of the packet; and recording an arrival time of the packet (page

Application/Control Number: 10/721,335 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

4, Section A; the arrival time of the packet is recorded in the hash table, and the count

for the number of packets having that address is updated).

(5) with regard to claims 5 and 16:

Peng et al. further discloses routing the packet with a warning if the at least part

of the source address does not match at least one source address recorded within the

predetermined time period prior to the arrival of the packet; and recording the at least

part of the source address and an arrival time of the packet (page 4, Section A; if the

address is not already in the hash table, it is added to it and the arrival time of the

packet is recorded; the newly added address in the hash table can be viewed as a

warning because the number of the newly added address appeared in a time slot is

used to measured if a attack has occurred in the network).

(6) with regard to claims 9 and 20:

Peng et al. further discloses the source address of the packet is an internet

protocol (IP) address (page 4, Section A).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,335 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

6. Claims 6 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peng et al. "Detecting Distributed Denial of Service Attacks Using Source IP Address Monitoring".

(1) with regard to claims 6 and 17:

Peng et al. does not disclose the warning is recorded in a read-only medium.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that the use of read-only medium is well known in the art. It is desirable to use read-only medium to store data because it provides higher security and protection to the data being stored since data stored in a read-only medium cannot be easily modified. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use read-only medium to store the warning in the system of Peng et al.

- 7. Claims 7, 8, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peng et al. "Detecting Distributed Denial of Service Attacks Using Source IP Address Monitoring" in view of Lingafelt et al. (US 2002/0147925).
 - (1) with regard to claims 7 and 18:

Peng et al. further disclose issuing a warning if the at least part of the source address does not match at least one source address recorded within the predetermined time period prior to the arrival of the packet (page 4, Section A; if the address is not already in the hash table, it is added to it; the newly added address in the hash table can be viewed as a warning because the number of the newly added address appeared in a time slot is used to measured if a attack has occurred in the network).

Peng et al. however does not disclose discarding the packet.

Art Unit: 2616

Lingafelt et al. teaches discarding a packet if it does not match with an address in a database of addresses (335 in Fig. 3; paragraph [0025]).

Page 6

It would have been desirable to discard the packet if it does not match an address in a database of addresses because it would improve the security of the system by not allowing unauthorized traffic to access network resources. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to discard the packets that are not authorized as taught by Lingafelt et al. in the system of Peng et al.

(2) with regard to claims 8 and 19:

Peng et al. does not disclose the warning is recorded in a read-only medium.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that the use of read-only medium is well known in the art. It is desirable to use read-only medium to store data because it provides higher security and protection to the data being stored since data stored in a read-only medium cannot be easily modified. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use read-only medium to store the warning in the system of Peng et al.

- 8. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peng et al. "Detecting Distributed Denial of Service Attacks Using Source IP Address Monitoring" in view of Langberg et al. (US 5,852,630).
 - (1) with regard to claims 10 and 11:

Peng et al. discloses all of the subject matter as discussed in the rejection of claim 1. However, Peng et al. does not teach using a computer readable medium stored thereon a computer executable program for performing the method of claim 1.

Langberg et al. teaches a method for a transceiver warm start activation procedure can be implemented in software stored in a computer-readable medium. The computer-readable medium is an electronic, magnetic, optical, or other physical device or means that can contain or store a computer program for use by or in connection with a computer-related system or method (column 3, lines 51-65). Using a computer readable medium with program instruction code would be desirable because it would perform the same function of using hardware but offer the advantage of less expense, adaptability and flexibility. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the limitation as taught by Langberg et al. into the system of Peng et al. so as to reduce cost and improve the adaptability and flexibility of the logic simulation.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bo Hui A. Zhu whose telephone number is (571)270-1086. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571)272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,335 Page 8

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BZ June 19, 2007

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600